

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,789	03/01/2004	Roy Martinez	135/01	9425
7590 08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
Averill & Varn			TRAN, DIEM T	
8244 Painter Ave. Whittier, CA 90602			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
windler, Cr)000 <u>2</u>		3748	
£		DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,789	MARTINEZ, ROY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	- U
	Diem Tran	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi tle, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely in the mailing date of this col ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	awn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		Eveniner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted an accepted and applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			R 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the ce	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition Noved in this National	Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	si of the certified copies not receiv	reu.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail I		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			-152)

Art Unit: 3748

eq †

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

-On page 8, line 1, "a inlet adapter" should be changed to --an inlet adapter--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities:

-The dependency of claim 18 should be changed to --claim 17--, because the use of "the reliefs" in claim 18 is mentioned in claim 17 not in claim 14. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wright (US Patent 1,797,310).

Wright discloses an exhaust extracting device comprising:

an outer tube having an inlet (3), an inlet adapter portion (2), an increased diameter center portion, an outlet adapter portion, an outlet (4), and a central axis; an inner tube substantially co-axial with said outer tube, the inner tube having an outer surface; helically oriented vanes

Application/Control Number: 10/789,789

Art Unit: 3748

extending outward from the outer surface of the inner tube (see Figure 1); at least two supports extending between the inner tube and the outer tube, wherein the supports are substantially orthogonal to the outer surface of the inner tube, and have a famblade like orientation, wherein the vanes and supports cooperate to cause an outer air flow between the inner tube and the outer tube to rotate (see Figures 1, 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright (US Patent 1,797,310).

Regarding claims 2-16, Wright discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above, however, fails to disclose that the inlet and outlet adapter portion is between 4 –6 inches long; the inner tube entrance and inner tube exit reside at approximately 0 to 75% or 33% of the distance between the inlet adapter entrance and exit, and between the outlet adapter entrance and exit, respectively; diameter of the center portion of the outer tube is approximately 2.5- 5 inches; the extending length of the vanes is between 3/16 –1/4 inches; the number of inlet and outlet supports to the inner tube are between 3-10 supports, the angles between of inlet supports is approximately 35-55 degrees from the central axis; the spacing between vanes is between ½-1 inch.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,789

Art Unit: 3748

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a specific optimum range of the length of the inlet and outlet adapter portion; the relative position of the inner tube entrance and inner tuber exit in relation with the distance between the inlet adapter entrance and exit, and between the outlet adapter entrance and exit, respectively; the diameter of the center portion of the outer tube, the extending length of vanes; the number of inlet and outlet supports to the inner tube entrance and inner tube exit, the angles between of inlet supports, the spacing between vanes, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233

Regarding claims 19, 20, Wright discloses an exhaust extracting device comprising: an outer tube having an inlet (3), an inlet and an outlet adapter portion, an increased diameter center portion, an outlet (4), and a central axis; an inner tube substantially co-axial with said outer tube, the inner tube having an outer surface; helically oriented vanes extending outward from the outer surface of the inner tube (see Figure 1); at least two supports extending between the inner tube and the outer tube, wherein the supports are substantially orthogonal to the outer surface of the inner tube, and have a fanblade like orientation, wherein the vanes and supports cooperate to cause an outer air flow between the inner tube and the outer tube to rotate (see Figures 1, 2); however, fails to disclose the length of the inlet and outlet adapter portion being between approximately 4-6 inches long; the extending of vanes being between 3/16 and ½ inches; the number of inlet and outlet supports to the inner tube entrance and exit being 3-10 supports, and the position of the inner tube entrance and inner tube exit being 0 to 75% in

Art Unit: 3748

4

relation with the distance between the inlet adapter entrance and exit, and between the outlet adapter entrance and exit, respectively.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a specific optimum range of the length of the inlet and outlet adapter portion, the extending length of vanes; and the number of inlet supports to the inner tube, and the relative position of the inner tube entrance and inner tube exit in relation with the distance between the inlet adapter entrance and exit, and between the outlet adapter entrance and exit, respectively, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17, 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Diem Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-6073. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday from 8:30 a.m.- 5:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Diem Tran

Patent Examiner

Art unit 3748

DT

August 19, 2004

THOMAS DENION

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700